DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

MAY 1 8 1993

Ambulance Department

JIM L. WERRIES
AMBULANCE CHIEF



200 E. 3rd Newton, KS 67114-3412 1-316-284-6060



rederal communications commission
the city of recettes energy in

120 east 7th street

p.o. box 426

newton, kansas 67114-0426

May 13, 1993

RECENT MAY 17 1933 FCC MAIL FOOR

Donna Searcy Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St. N.W., Room 222 Washington, DC 20554

Re: PR Docket 92-235

Dear Ms. Searcy:

1 am opposed to PR Docket 92-235. Harvey County Kansas has a population of approximately 37,000 people in its six cities, and rural residences. Over the past 20 years officials of Harvey County's emergency services agencies have worked together to develop a county-wide radio communications This has resulted in common radio communications between police, fire, ambulance, and other emergency services in 10 different communities and surrounding areas. system is coordinated by a county-wide central 911 dispatch system. This system proved its immeasurable value in March of 1990, when an F-5 tornado cut a path of destruction and death through our area. The common communications system saved lives, and reduced human suffering and property damage by providing excellent coordination of services without duplication.

The proposed changes sited in PR Docket 92-235 would destroy this system by rendering all of our present radio equipment obsolete. This, in turn, would cause a great safety and financial hardship on the public. It has been estimated that it would cost in excess of one half million dollars to replace our current radio equipment. Small midwestern cities, such as ours, are faced with higher costs and shrinking revenues; much of which is caused by federally mandated laws. These laws are frequently passed down to the "grass-roots" level without financial help. Without some financial assistance, we cannot afford changes like what is being proposed in PR Docket 92-235.

No. of Copies reck List A B C D E Donna Searcy May 13, 1993 Page 2

I have been in the EMS profession for 27 years and I can understand radio congestion problems. However, I question if those of us in the rural areas of the United States should be made to suffer for problems that are occurring in major

cities on the East and West coast. I ask that you reconsider PR Docket 92-235 in light of the many areas of the U.S. that are not faced with a critical problem of radio congestion. If PR Docket 92-235 is passed,